Table 3

Non-EGU Allowance Allocations Options
(% Control requirements)

	2007 projected uncontrolled		Allowance allocation option Trading budget (tons)  EE &R set-aside (tons)  Contribution to new source set-	Proposed rule language (all units included) 9855		EPA methodology (all units included) 9855		Tiered emission rates methodolgy 1-(all units included)  9855		Proposed rule language (BFG units excluded) 6849 1079		Tiered emission rates methodology 1- (BFG units excluded)  6849  1079		Tiered emission rates methodology 2- (BFG units excluded)  6849	
Source	emissions (tons)	inputs 1995 to 1999	aside (tons)	111		111		111		80		80		80	
Counce	(terro)	1000		Step 2	% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled emissions		% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled	Step 2	% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled emissions		% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled emissions		% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled		% control needed from 2007 projected uncontrolled emissions
Inland Steel (#4 AC Station -															
coal fired)	2,519	2,934		1192	53%	866	66%	1608	36%	1406	44%	1624	36%	1559	38%
Inland Steel (#2 AC Station and #5 Boilerhouse -BFG units)	999	895		1156	-16%	1176	-18%	877	7 12%	Ď					
Perry-K	1,254	1,065		384		359		584						525	
New Energy	631	397		203		197		218						256	
ALCOA	5,099	5,710		2503		1919		3129						3111	
AMOCO	2,104	1,965		1310		1361		1077			27%	1087	48%	1265	40%
U.S. Steel (BFG units)	294	373		673		1249		511							
LTV Steel (BFG units)	972	1,129		1054	-9%	962	1%	800	18%						
Bethlehem Steel (BFG units) National Steel	815 75	645 49		1165 29		1661 32		884			55%	27	' 64%	31	58%
Purdue University	23	39		70		72		53		-				38	
Portside Energy	69	65		117		72		89						64	
Total	14,854	15,266		9856		9854		9857		6851	2370	6848		6849	
	Nata D#		-1 0 -11-												
<u></u>	inote: Differe	nce in the total of	step 2 allowa	rice allocations	s and trading b	uuget is due	to rounding.			1	1	1	1	1	

03/28/2001